## **ESSIONAL** RECORD-APPENLIX A6815

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY

RCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 B 2 B WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

DATE 2003 2006

Grab-Bag Cougress

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. LOUIS B. HELLER

di the house of representatives

Thursday, October 18, 1951

Mr. Speaker, under t, I include in the REC-

nittee on Inter-

the column, the Semeter rough a bill ville which are pornion many white will be the control with the control win the control with the control with the control with the control

expanded basis. Should it fall at this point to develop policies toward that end, the Board would, indeed, lend cretience to the charge that it is servile to the interests of the certificated airlines and that it lacks the scope and garing required at this moment, which sees American aviation standing at the threshold of vastly expanded development and service."

The CAB ordered a study of the future of the nonskeds. But at the same time the Board has proceeded by regulatory measures to pronounce sentence of death on the unscheduled lines.

Under prolonged pressure the Board has just wrestled with the task of separating subsidy from mail pay for the certificated carriers having admitted that currently 57 percent of mail pay is, in fact, subsidy. A rate of 45 cents a ton-mile has been sllowed for the main trunk lines.

This figure compares with 23 cents a tonmile the airpianes charge for carrying freight and express and 34 cents, which is said to be the cost of carrying mail. Analysts who have studied the separation plan with no ux to grind believe the rates allowed are, to say the least, overgenerous.

The subsidy dispute was aired in a remarkable Senate debate that got little or no attention. This centered on the effort of Sanators who have repeate ity sinom their influence in muting fargerment apending to part of the farger in the farge

On the other add were loyal friends of Pen-American altimates which has repeatedly been charged with driving toward a nember of of all foreign routes. To such faithfulfillends as Senators McCarran, Democrat, of Novada, and Brewster, Republican, of Maine, was added Senator McManon, Democrat, of Connecticut. They wanted contracts without reduction for a period of 10 years, At one point the forthright Arken said:

"If there is any bill which this Congress has to consider which tends to build up an almost airtight monopoly for a single part of a single industry, this bill is it. " " It is one of the worst uills the Senate has ever had before it."

Pinally, the period was cut from 10 to 5 years, with the economizers hammering away at the burden imposed on the American taxpayer. One estimate puts the amount of airline subsidy paid out since V3-day as high as \$250,000,000.

In its swiftly expanding phase since the end of World War II the airline industry is still very new. It is possible to see the developing pattern of monopoly as it threatens to freeze into being the present set-up with its limitations on the progress of a means of transportation as yet in infancy. There are other industries long past this stage with the freeze so solid that the antitrust laws are completely irrelevant.

Deportation of Anti-Communists

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. RAY J. MADDEN

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, October 18, 1951

Mr. MADDEN. Mr. Speaker, the following resolution, adopted by the supreme board of the Creatian Catholic

Union of United States of America, sets out a just appeal that steps should be taken by our Government to protect citizens of iron-curtain countries who have been actively fighting communism from being deported into the clutches of Communist dictators. The penalty awaiting these deportees is generally liquidation.

The supreme board of the Croatian Catholic Union of United States of America gathered at its meeting in Gary, Ind., October 12, 1951, on the occasion of its thirtieth anniversary celebration, seriously discussed the matter of the demand of the Yugoslav regime of Tito that Dr. Andrija Artukovic, a prominent Croatian opponent of communism, be deported into the custody of Yugoslavia.

In the name of the Croatian Catholic Union of United States of America and its thousands of members who are loyal citizens of America, prepared to sacrifice everything and even their lives for American freedom and democracy, we protest against such requests of Yugoslavia's communistic regime that Dr. Artukovic is deported to Yugoslavia's Communication on the following ica-

1. Dr. Andrea Artukovic committed no right of the firmes such as he is accused in 12 the Chapter's regime, we know postively, that these focusations are public political means of the Engolis Committed dictatorship to rid itself of its pulitical proposents in the world who oppose its communicitic tactics in Croatia.

2. Dr. Artukoyic is well knowl as an apponent of communism even from his youth.

3. The fugoslav Communist regime, as we know, first demanded the deportation of Dr. Artukovic on the basis of political reasons, and when it failed to be successful, it changed the charges against him to murder and criminal action of which he was never guilty.

4. The law of the Kingdom of Serbia of 1902 can in no way be applied to Dr. Artukovic since ne never had been either a citizen or resident of Serbia.

5. If free and democratic America permits
Tito's communistic regime to take Dr. Artukovic, it will pave the way for allowing Tito's
regime to seek the deportation of any and
all of its political enemies or opponents who
raise their voices in foreign lands against
communism in Yugoslavia and other parts
of the world.

For the above reasons and for the sake of human rights, we appeal to our American officials not to deport Dr. Andrija Artukovic to Tito's Communist regime, for in no case can a political rival deal fairly with his political opponent. If Dr. Artukovic erred and broke any laws, let the unbiased, democratic American courts decide the matter.

Conference Report on the Tax Bill

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

## HON. HENRY M. JACKSON

OF WASHINGTON IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 16, 1951

Mr. JACKSON of Washington. Mr. Speaker, when the 1951 tax bill was before the House, I voted for its passage. Much as I dislike the idea of imposing